

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

LITTORVEY BOOKET VO	COMPTENZA
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/748,993 12/29/2003 Jochen Huebl 10191/3516 26646 7590 09/07/2005 **EXAMINER KENYON & KENYON** BROWN, VERNAL U **ONE BROADWAY** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10004 2635

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	uk				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/748,993	HUEBL, JOCHEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vernal U. Brown	2635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· <u> </u>				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No  In this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413	
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 2635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The application of Jochen Huebl for Method And Device for Waking Users of A Bus.

System And Corresponding Users filed December 29, 2003 has been examined. Claims 1-11 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Millsap et al. US Patent 6484082.

Regarding claims 1, 4-5, 7 Millsap et al. teaches a device for waking users of a bus system comprising:

a detection device (ECU) for detecting a signal feature (high level) of the signal transmitted on the bus system (col. 6 lines 44-49) and for initiating wake procedure once a preselected number with respect to the signal feature has been reached (col. 6 line 66-col. 7 line 2, col. 7 lines 38-45).

Regarding claim 2 and 6, Millsap et al. teaches the detection device includes a timer (col. 7 lines 41-42).

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claim 8, Millsap et al. teaches transmitting a wake up message and evaluating the message as a wake up message and a corresponding signal feature is detected (col. 7 lines 38-45).

Regarding claims 9-10, Millsap et al. teaches determining the a time duration following a first occurrence of the signal feature and detecting the binary information results from the time duration following the first occurrence by monitoring the bus for a period of time for the message indicating which user of the bus to be activated (col. 7 lines 38-45)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Millsap et al. US Patent 6484082 in view of Kohlschmidt US Patent 6029061.

Regarding claim 3, Millsap et al. teaches detecting the signal feature (high level) (col. 6 lines 44-49) but is silent on teaching the signal feature includes one of an edge and an edge change of a signal. One skilled in the art recognizes that a change in signal edge is conventionally used to detect a signal as evidenced by Kohlschmidt (figure 4).

Art Unit: 2635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the signal feature to include one of an edge and an edge change of a signal in Millsap et al. as evidenced by Kohlschmidt because Millsap et al. suggests detecting the change in signal on the bus and one skilled in the art recognizes that a change in signal edge is conventionally used to detect a signal as evidenced by Kohlschmidt.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohlschmidt US

Patent 6029061 in view of Millsap et al. US Patent 6484082 and further in view of Selin US

Patent 5914796.

Regarding claim 11, Millsap et al. in an art related bus control system teaches transmitting a wake message and the message is evaluated to determine which user is to be selectively awaken (col. 3 lines 16-25) but is silent on teaching retransmitting the wake up message. One skilled in the art recognizes that a message is generally re-transmitted over a bus when the message is not correctly received by its recipient as evidenced by Selin (col. 7 lines 25-29) in order to deal with data collision on a bus.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit a wake up message evaluating the message, and retransmitting the message in Millsap et al. as evidenced by Selin because Millsap et al. suggests transmitting a wake up message and one skilled in the art recognizes that a message is generally re-transmitted over a bus when the message is not correctly received by its recipient (as evidenced by Selin) in order to deal with data collision on a bus.

Art Unit: 2635

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vernal Brown September 6, 2005

> BRIÁN ZÍMMERM BRIMARY EXAMIN